



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/729,041	12/05/2003	Julio C. Castaneda	СЕ12269ЈМЕ	9354
7590 09/13/2005			EXAMINER	
Larry G. Brown			HANNETT, JAMES M	
Motorola, Inc.  Law Department	nt	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
8000 West Sunrise Boulevard			2612	
Fort Lauderdale	e, FL 33322	DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/729,041	CASTANEDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James M. Hannett	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 De</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> .				
24/ 1/110 401011 10 1 1101	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 G.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,10,11,13,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected	1.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-9, 12, 14-18</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r creation requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)∐ object	ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/5/2003</u> .	6) Other:	,,			
LS Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/729,041

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 1: Claims 1, 2, 11, 13, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by JP 2003-315656 Senba et al (English abstract translation).
- 2: Claim 1: As for Claim 1, Senba et al depicts in Figures 1-3 and teaches on Paragraphs [0006 and 0023] an electronic device comprising: a focusable camera assembly (153) paragraph [0006]; and a motor (151a) operable between a first mode (vibrate mode) of operation in which it provides a vibration feature and a second mode of operation in which it adjusts the focus of the camera assembly.
- 3: Claim 2: In regards to Claim 2, Senba et al teaches on Paragraph [0023] and depicts in Figure 1 the device is a cell-phone which is a radio communication device.
- 4: Claim 11: As for Claim 11, Senba et al teaches on Paragraph [0025 and 0006] and depicts in Figure [2] the focusable camera assembly (152) includes a fixed camera (image sensor CCD) and an adjustable lens assembly (153) that can be adjusted by the motor (151a).
- 5: Claim 13: As for Claim 13, Senba et al depicts in Figures 1-3 and teaches on Paragraphs [0006 and 0023] a method for providing both camera focus (153) and vibration functionality to a radio communication device (cell-phone) having a camera (CCD), comprising the steps of: determining if a vibration mode or a camera focus mode is desired; causing a motor (151a) to

Application/Control Number: 10/729,041

Art Unit: 2612

vibrate if the vibration mode is desired in step (a); and causing the same motor (151a) used in step (d) to focus (153) the camera (CCD) if the camera focus mode was desired in step (a).

- 6: Claim 19: As for Claim 19, Senba et al teaches on Paragraph [0023] the radio communication device comprises a cellular telephone:
- 7: Claim 20: In regards to Claim 20, Senba et al teaches on Paragraph [0016 and 0027] a controller sends a signal to the motor that causes it to be in the vibration mode or the camera focus mode.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8: Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2003-315656 Senba et al.
- 9: Claim 10: In regards to Claim 10, Senba et al teaches the use of a cell-phone which uses a common motor to perform a vibration feature to inform a user of an incoming call and to perform a focus control of a built in camera. However, Senba et al is silent as to the type of motor and does not teach that he motor can be an electric brush motor.

Official notice is taken that it was well know in the art at the time the invention was made to use electric brush motors in cell phones to enable the phone to have a vibrate function.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,041

Art Unit: 2612

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an electric brush motor for the motor in Senba et al since it was common practice to do so.

### Allowable.Subject Matter

10: Claims 3-9, 12, and 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Hannett whose telephone number is 571-272-7309. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:00 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M. Hannett Examiner Art Unit 2612

